

The Lancet Oncology, Volume 14, Issue 2, Pages 105 - 107, February 2013 doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70599-5 Cite or Link Using DOI Published Online: 16 January 2013

Copyright © 2013 Elsevier Ltd All rights reserved.

Renal-cell carcinoma: a step closer to a new classification

Toni K Choueiri azd, Mark M Pomerantz a, Sabina Signoretti a

Until the advent of next-generation sequencing, somatic genetic changes common in sporadic renal-cell carcinoma were largely unknown. Mutations in the VHL gene, discovered by linkage analysis in hereditary renal-cell carcinoma, had been the focus of genetics research for many years for this type of cancer. Located on chromosome 3p, VHL is a tumour suppressor gene universally mutated in the hereditary form of clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma and in the vast majority of its sporadic form.1 Previous studies2, 3 measuring associations between VHL mutational status and clinical outcome did not detect a clear and consistent relation. Recent tumour sequencing studies have revealed several recurrent mutations in clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma in addition to VHL, including mutations in genes at the 3p locus. One such gene, PBRM1, has a truncating mutation in 40% of cases of clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma. PBRM1 encodes the Baf180 protein, a subunit of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodelling complex implicated in the transcription machinery. 4BAP1, also located at 3p, is mutated in 15% of clear-cell renal-cell carcinomas and encodes a protein implicated in deubiquitination, as part of the large ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis pathway (UMPP), a pathway that also includes VHL among about 135 genes. Alterations in genes encoding UMPP are associated with overexpression of hypoxia inducible factor, even in the absence of VHL mutation.⁵

Interestingly, PBRM1 and BAP1 mutations tend to be mutually exclusive, raising a potential genetic split that warrants investigation into the clinical implications. To that end, Payal Kapur and colleagues⁶ followed on their initial report on BAP1 loss to clinically characterise clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma tumours bearing mutations in either PBRM1 or BAP1, or both. This work represents one of the strongest attempts in the next-generation sequencing era to integrate genomics with clinically relevant outcomes in renal-cell carcinoma. It builds on a local database (at the University of Texas-Southwestern, UTSW) and validates the findings in the publicly available database of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). The results showed that compared with PBRM1-mutant tumours, BAP1-mutant tumours are more likely to be aggressive and display adverse pathological features, which translate into striking overall survival differences. The hazard ratio for survival comparing patients with BAP1-mutant tumours with patients with PBRM1-mutant tumours was almost identical in both cohorts (2-7, 95% CI 0.99-7.6, p=0.044 for the UTSW cohort and 2.8, 1.4-5.9; p=0.004 for the TCGA cohort). Furthermore, BAP1-mutant and PBRM1-mutant tumours exhibited distinct gene-expression signatures indicating non-overlapping biology. Although the clinical utility of PBRM1 and BAP1 mutational status as biomarkers for renal-cell carcinoma might not be immediately applicable in daily clinical practice, these findings are a meaningful step.

Next, it will be important to test the predictive value of these mutations in patients receiving systemic therapies with targeted drugs such as inhibitors of VEGF and mTOR. Distinct genetics in renal-cell carcinoma might imply distinct responses to targeted agents. Seven therapies approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) were available in 2012. Rather than being used on the basis of a patient-restricted genetic signature, these drugs are currently prescribed on the basis of the eligibility criteria in pivotal phase 3 trials, patient preferences, toxicity profiles, and costs. Additionally, if BAP1 and PBRM1 mutations prove to be early events in tumorigenesis of clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma, ubiquitous throughout an individual's tumour, the recently raised issue of tumour heterogeneity in this disease becomes a lesser concern in the implementation of a biomarker based on mutational status.7

+

Article Options Full Text PDF (828 KB) Cited by in Scopus (1) Printer Friendly Version **Request permission** Export Citation

ScienceDirect

Create Citation Alert

Linked Articles

Articles Effects on survival of BAP1 and PBRM1 mutations in sporadic clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma: a retrospective analysis with independent validation 📹

Other Articles of Interest

Articles An

immunohistochemical procedure to detect patients with paraganglioma and phaeochromocytoma with germline , or gene mutations: a retrospective and prospective analysis 🗐

Seminar von Hippel-Lindau disease 🗐

Review Resistance to targeted therapy in renal-cell carcinoma

Review Kinase targets in renal-cell carcinomas: reassessing the old and discovering the new 🗐

Research Letters Germline mutation in familial phaeochromocytoma 🗐

Bookmark

| | Delicious |
|----|-----------|
| 32 | Digg |

- 6 reddit
- f Facebook
- ച StumbleUpon

Full-size image (180K) James King-Holmes/Science Photo Library

Could we perceive other useful classifications from all the emerging data with the new candidate genes in clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma? Less common mutations are found in genes encoding two methyltransferases, *SETD2* and *MLL2*, and two demethylases, *UTX (KDM6A)* and *JARID1C (KDM5C)*, and might define other molecular subtypes with different biology and outcomes. One potential classification scheme can involve the presence or absence of one or more mutations in a certain pathway. As previously mentioned, the UMPP groups several genes that target and degrade proteins through the proteasome system. One recent study⁸ interrogated the genes of the UMPP and found that 48 (50%) of 98 patients with clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma harboured non-silent somatic mutations along that pathway, suggesting that patients with clear-cell real-cell carcinoma can be split into ones with mutations in the UMPP or not.

In summary, this well-designed study is an important first step in organising and understanding the clinical application of all the recent emerging data from efforts in exome sequencing of renal cancer. We hope that improved use of targeted therapies and biomarker-based clinical decisions will follow.⁹

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

References

<u>1</u> Linehan WM, Pinto PA, Bratslavsky G, et al. Hereditary kidney cancer: unique opportunity for disease-based therapy. *Cancer* 2009; **115** (10 suppl): 2252-2261. <u>PubMed</u>

2 Cowey CL, Rathmell WK. VHL gene mutations in renal cell carcinoma: role as a biomarker of disease outcome and drug efficacy. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2009; 11: 94-101. <u>PubMed</u>

<u>3</u> Choueiri TK, Vaziri SA, Jaeger E, et al. von Hippel-Lindau gene status and response to vascular endothelial growth factor targeted therapy for metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol* 2008; **180**: 860-865. <u>PubMed</u>

<u>4</u> Varela I, Tarpey P, Raine K, et al. Exome sequencing identifies frequent mutation of the SWI/SNF complex gene *PBRM1* in renal carcinoma. *Nature* 2011; **469**: 539-542. <u>PubMed</u>

5 Peña-Llopis S, Vega-Rubén-de-Celis S, Liao A, et al. *BAP1* loss defines a new class of renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Genet* 2012; 44: 751-759. PubMed

<u>6</u> Kapur P, Peña-Llopis S, Christie A, et al. Effects on survival of *BAP1* and *PBRM1* mutations in sporadic clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma: a retrospective analysis with independent validation. *Lancet Oncol* 2013. published online Jan 16. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70584-3</u>.

Z Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**: 883-892. <u>PubMed</u>

<u>8</u> Guo G, Gui Y, Gao S, et al. Frequent mutations of genes encoding ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis pathway components in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Genet* 2011; **44**: 17-19. <u>PubMed</u>

<u>9</u> Sonpavde G, Choueiri TK. Biomarkers: the next therapeutic hurdle in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer 2012; 107: 1009-1016. <u>PubMed</u>

a Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Privacy Policy | Terms and Conditions | Contact Us | About Us Copyright © 2014 <u>Ekevier</u> Limited. All rights reserved. The Lancet® is a registered trademark of Reed Ekevier Properties S.A., used under licence The Lancet.com website is operated by Elsevier Inc. The content on this site is intended for health professionals. Cookies are set by this site. To decline them or learn more, visit our <u>Cookies page</u>.